

## Teline rosmarinifolia

### 1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MAC**

map-range

map-distribution



map-favourable-range



### 2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MACARONESIAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Beltrán E., Wildpret W., León C., García A. & A. Reyes (1999). Libro Rojo de la Flora Canaria contenida en la Directiva-Hábitats Europea. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente. 694 pp.

SEGA 2003. Seguimiento de poblaciones de especies amenazadas. Informe no publicado. Gesplan.

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 450

2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2003

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:

2.3.4 Range trend: Stable (=)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):

2.3.6 Range trend period: 1983-2003

# Teline rosmarinifolia

2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:

Natural processes

and/or specify

## 2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)

Population size estimation (maximum)

Population units

32012

32012

Number of individuals

2.4.2 Date of population estimation:

2003

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:

From comprehensive inventory

2.4.4 Quality of data on area:

Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation

2.4.5 Population trend:

Stable (=)

2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):

2.4.7 Population trend period:

1983-2003

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:

Natural processes

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:

2.4.11 Threats

## 2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:

Comunidades rupícolas de carácter subhúmedo de los riscos que bordean el maciz

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

675

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2007

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

Unknown (X)

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:

Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species:

Good prospects - species expected to survive and prosper

## 2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

400

2.7.2 Favourable reference population:

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

400

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Favourable reference population: 150 individuals/population. No existen datos qu

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Inadequate but improving (U1+)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Favourable (FV)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Inadequate but improving (U1+)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Favourable (FV)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Favourable (FV)	